## VI. Ground(s) of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

- 1. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 14, 16, 33, and 38-40 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Love, III, et al. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0229538 ("Love").
- 2. The rejection of claims 36 and 37 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Love.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 14, 16, 33, and 36-40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Collier U.S. Patent No. 5,487,936 ("Collier") in view of Lovingood U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0190853 ("Lovingood").
- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 14, 16, 33, and 36-40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Heiman U.S. Patent No. 5,495,874 ("Heiman") in view of Fairchild's Dictionary of Textiles (Tortora, Phyllis. 7<sup>th</sup> Edition. Fairchild Publications, New York. 2003. p.596)("the Fairchild dictionary").
- 5. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 14, 16, 33, and 36-37 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly unpatentable over claims 1-21 of Heiman in view of the Fairchild dictionary.

## **Conclusion**

Appellants respectfully submit that the previously submitted Appeal Brief, with revised Paragraph VI above, is in full compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR \$41.37. If this Response leaves any issues open, a call to undersigned counsel would be gratefully appreciated.

Respectfully submitted, WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

By /Randall S. Jackson, Jr./
Randall S. Jackson, Jr.
Reg. 48,248

2700 Carew Tower Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 241-2324 FAX (513) 241-6234